UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,100	11/12/2003	Seymour H. Fein	SER-001	7710	
51414 GOODWIN PR	7590 03/12/200 ROCTER LLP	EXAMINER			
PATENT ADM			KOSAR, ANDREW D		
53 STATE STREET EXCHANGE PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	02109-2881	1654			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PatentBos@goodwinprocter.com hmcpeake@goodwinprocter.com glenn.williams@goodwinprocter.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/706,100	FEIN, SEYMOUR H.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ANDREW D. KOSAR	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	t waisan to the data of filing a baist	حط لمصمعهم مطاعمها النب				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They have the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>see 11 below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Accelerate D. I/					
	/Andrew D Kosar/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it introduces new issues, particularly newly presented claim limitations that require further consideration and examination. Additionally, the amendments do not simplify the issues for appeal, as the amendments do not overcome the art as applied. Applicant has provided no evidence that the anticipatory product would not function as claimed, and merely provides more arguments and assertions. With regards to the instructions, further consideration is required as this limitation was not presented previously and requires additional consideration in light of In re Ngai 367 F.3d 1336, 70 USPQ2d 1862 (Fed. Cir. 2004), which states that where the only difference between a prior art product and a claimed product is printed matter that is not functionally related to the product, the content of the printed matter will not distinguish the claimed product from the prior art. Thus, addition of such limitation does not reduce the issues for appeal. Further, Applicant is reminded that the claims are drawn to a product with an intended use, and not a method of use. The prior art anticipates the product as each and every structural limitation has been satisfied by the prior art. The bioavailability is an inherent feature of each and every product that anticipates the composition comprising desmopressin at 0.5ng to 20 ug and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (intranasal, transdermal or intradermal form).

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments are iterative of previous traversals. It should be noted that the examiner did not indicate that the obviousness rejection would be dropped based on asserted commercial success. No such aquiescence was made during the interview.